

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/270,256	03/15/1999	ILYA KLEBANOV	0100.9900440	2265
23418	7590 04/22/2005		EXAMINER	
	RICE KAUFMAN & F	YANG, RYAN R		
222 N. LASALLE STREET CHICAGO, IL 60601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2672	

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	V				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Common.	09/270,256	KLEBANOV, ILYA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ryan R Yang	2672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr , cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 L	December 2004 .					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,6-11,13,17,18 and 21-33</u> is/are per	nding in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,6-11,13,17,21-23 and 25-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18 and 24</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by the Ex	kaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved b)□ disapp	proved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	ation No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been r	eceived.				
Attachment(s)	is priority under do 0.5.0. 99 1	29 and/01 121.				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/270,256 Page 2

Art Unit: 2672

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/13/2004 has been entered.
- 2. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment, filed on 12/13/2004. This action is non-final.
- 3. Claims 2, 6-11, 13, 17-18 and 21-33 are pending in this application. Claims 21-33 are independent claims. In the Amendment, filed on 12/13/2004, claims 21 and 22 were amended, and claims 23-33 were added.
- 4. The present title of the invention is "Method and Apparatus for Rendering an Image in a Video Graphics Adapter" as filed originally.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/270,256

Art Unit: 2672

6. Claims 21, 2, 8-10, 23, 25-28 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wada (5,959,639).

Page 3

As per claim 21, Wada discloses a method of displaying active video on a computer system, the method comprising the steps of:

receiving at a first video graphics adapter (VGA) a first frame of active video from a video source (Figure 11, item 9a is VGA receives a first frame of video);

rendering at least a first portion of the first frame of video at the first VGA in response to a first control signal, wherein the first control signal is a signal specifying a window location for displaying the active video (Figure 11, 12a is one video segment correspond to 9a);

storing at least a first portion of the active video in a video memory associated with the first VGA (Figure 11, item 1 main memory is associated with 9a); and

rendering at least a second portion of the first frame of video at a second VGA in response to a second control signal (Figure 11, 12b is one video segment correspond to 9b) and storing at least second portion of the active decoded video in the memory associated with the first VGA (Figure 11, item 1 main memory is associated with 9a).

7. As per claims 8 and 31, Wada demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejected claims 21 and 23, supra, respectively, and further discloses the first VGA is a primary VGA (where Figure 11 Graphics Controller 9a is considered primary), and the second VGA is a secondary VGA (where Figure 11 Graphics Controller 9b is considered secondary).

Application/Control Number: 09/270,256

Art Unit: 2672

8. As per claims 9 and 32, Wada demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejected claim 21, supra, respectively, and further discloses the first VGA is a secondary VGA (where Figure 11 Graphics Controller 9a is considered secondary), and the second VGA is a primary VGA (where Figure 11 Graphic Controller 9b is considered primary).

Page 4

- 9. As per claims 10 and 33, Wada demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejected claim 21, supra, respectively, and further discloses the first VGA and the second VGA are part of a video wall such that the first frame of active video is displayed across multiple displays simultaneously (Figure 11, where 12a-12d are considered multiple displays).
- 10. As per claim 23, Wada discloses an active video processing system comprising: a first video graphics adapter (VGA) operative to receive a first frame of active video data, and in response display at least a first portion of the first frame of active video data at a window location in response to a first control signal (Figure 11, item 9a is VGA receives a first portion of first frame of video);

a first video memory operatively coupled to the first VGA and operative to store at least the first portion of the active video data (Figure 11, item 1 main memory is associated with 9a);

a second VGA, operatively coupled to the first VGA and operative to receive the at least a second portion of the first frame of active video data, and in response to display at least the second portion of the first frame of active video data (Figure 11, item 9b is VGA receives a second portion of first frame of video); and

a second video memory operatively coupled to the second VGA and operative to store at least the second portion of the active video data (Figure 11, item 1 main memory is associated with 9b).

- 11. As per claim 25, Wada demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claim 23, supra, and further discloses the first VGA further includes a video graphics processor (Figure 11, item 6a), and the second VGA further includes a video graphics processor (Figure 11, item 6b).
- 12. As per claim 26, Wada demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claim 23, supra, and further discloses the window operates in conjunction with an operating system, such that the operating system supports the display of the active video data on the first VGA (Figure 11, item 17a is a operating system).
- 13. As per claim 27, Wada demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claim 1, supra, and further discloses the window operates in conjunction with an operating system, such that the operating system supports a program for providing the active video data only to the first VGA (Figure 11, items 6a supports a program).
- 14. Claims 21 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hong (5,943,064).

As per claim 21, Wada discloses a method of displaying active video on a computer system, the method comprising the steps of:

receiving at a first video graphics adapter (VGA) a first frame of active video from a video source (Figure 2, where item 15 is the first video adapter; "The graphics controller card 13 may receive these digital video pixel data from a video source connected to the controller card", column 5, line 36-39);

rendering at least a first portion of the first frame of video at the first VGA in response to a first control signal, wherein the first control signal is a signal specifying a window location for displaying the active video (Figure 2, item 38 is a rendering engine);

storing at least a first portion of the active video in a video memory associated with the first VGA (Figure 2, item 22 is a video memory); and

rendering at least a second portion of the first frame of video at a second VGA in response to a second control signal (Figure 2, item 32 is the second video adapter where the rendered data can be transferred to the first video adapter; "a first mode graphics pixel data generated by the first graphics controller chip 32 can be transmitted to the second graphics controller chip 15 for storage and transmission", column 4, line 51-54).

15. As per claim 11, Wada demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejected claim 21, supra, and further discloses the steps of:

receiving at the second VGA a second frame of active video from a second video source (Figure 2, where item 32 is the second video adapter; "The graphics controller card 13 may receive these digital video pixel data from a video source connected to the controller card", column 5, line 36-39); and

rendering at least a portion of the second frame of video at the first VGA (Figure 2, item 32 is the second video adapter where the rendered data can be transferred to the first video adapter; "a first mode graphics pixel data generated by the first graphics controller chip 32 can be transmitted to the second graphics controller chip 15 for storage and transmission", column 4, line 51-54).

- 16. As per claims 2 and 28, Wada demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claims 21 and 23, supra, respectively, and further discloses the first portion and the second portion are the same portion (when a portion is a frame).
- 17. Claims 22 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Knox et al. (6,323,854).

As per claim 22, Knox et al., hereinafter Knox, disclose a method of displaying active video on a computer system, the method comprising the steps of:

receiving at a first video graphics adapter (VGA) a first frame of active video from a video source (Figure 2, where item 200 is the first video adapter), wherein the video source is at least one of the following: a video decoder and television signal (Figure 2, the PCI bus input video data which includes TV signals); and

displaying at least a first portion of the first frame of video at a second VGA in response to a second control signal (Figure 2, item 200 is the first video adapter and 102 is the second adapter; "secondary card 102 typically provides more sophisticated graphics, here accessing the video controller 100 through a PCI interface 128, column 3, line 7-9), wherein the second control signal is a signal specifying a window location for displaying the active video, ("referring to a single monitor 654 ... The

Art Unit: 2672

CRTC/controller 220 can be instructed by the video controller 200 that it is only to display a particular portion of the image which is actually transmitted over the bus 210", column 9, line 18-24).

18. As per claim 17, Knox demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claim 22, supra.

As for the video decoder is for decoding a compressed video signal, it is inherent that a video signal to be decoded is a compressed signal.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 19. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 20. Claims 6-7 and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wada as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of Dennison et al. (4,729,119).

As per claims 6 and 29, Wada demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejected claim 21, supra, respectively.

Wada discloses a system of displaying video on multiple computer displays. It is noted that Wada does not explicitly disclose the first video memory and second video memory are accessed by a direct memory access (DMA) controller associated with the first VGA, however, this is known in the as taught by Dennison et al., hereinafter Dennison. Dennison discloses a memory system in which the central can be alternately used by a DMA (column 8, line 54-60).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Dennison into Wada because Wada discloses a method of displaying on a multi-display computer system and Dennison discloses the controller can be alternately used by a DMA in order to allow for faster access of the memory.

21. As per claims 7 and 30, Wada demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejected claim 21, supra, respectively.

Wada discloses a system of displaying video on multiple computer displays. It is noted that Wada does not explicitly disclose discloses the first video memory and second video memory are accessed by a direct memory access (DMA) controller associated with the second VGA, however, this is known in the as taught by Dennison et al., hereinafter Dennison. Dennison discloses a memory system in which the central can be alternately used by a DMA (column 8, line 54-60).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Dennison into Wada because Wada discloses a method of displaying on a multi-display computer system and Dennison discloses the controller can be alternately used by a DMA in order to allow for faster access of the memory.

22. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wada as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of Lumelsky (4,949,169).

As per claim 13, Wada demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejected claim 21, supra.

Wada discloses a system of displaying video on multiple computer displays. It is noted that Wada does not explicitly disclose the step of storing the window location in a preference file, however, this is known in the art as taught by Lumelsky et al., hereinafter Lumelsky. Lumelsky discloses in a video-graphics display window environment in which the window location is stored in a preference file ("Vertical Sample Initial Address Register (SYA) 94 and Horizontal Sample Initial Address Register (SXA) 96. These two registers specify the destination window location. Two loadable counters, Vertical Sampling Address Counter (SYCNT) 98 and horizontal Sampling Address Counter (SXCNT) 100 are used as pointers to the receiving node's frame buffer (SYADDR and SXADDR)", column 14, line 30-37).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Lumelsky into Wada because Wada discloses a method of displaying video data on multiple display and Lumelsky discloses a method of tacking the window location in order to correctly display the window on different displays.

### Allowable Subject Matter

23. Claims 18 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As per claim 18, the closest prior art by Knox does not explicitly disclose "the video source sending the first frame of data over a bus local to the first VGA".

As per claim 24, the closest prior art by Wada does not explicitly disclose "the first VGA receives the first control signal when the first VGA receives a command to display at least the second portion of the first frame of active video data on the second VGA".

### Response to Arguments

24. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 20-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

25. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

### Inquiries :

26. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan R Yang whose telephone number is (571) 272-7666. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on (571) 272-7664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/270,256 Page 12

Art Unit: 2672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ryan Yang April 18, 2005